

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
7

8 STEPHANIE BALSAMO,

9 *Petitioner,*

10 vs.

11  
12 GENTRY, *et al.*,

13 *Respondents.*  
14

2:13-cv-01648-JAD-NJK

**ORDER**


15 Petitioner Stephanie Balsamo submitted a petition for writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28  
16 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 5). The court issued an order directing petitioner to show cause and file such proof  
17 she may have to demonstrate that the petition should not be dismissed with prejudice as time-barred  
18 (Doc. 7). The court ordered petitioner to respond within 30 (thirty) days and expressly advised her that  
19 if she was unable to demonstrate with specific facts and competent evidence that the petition should  
20 not be dismissed as time-barred, the petition would be dismissed.

21 More than the allotted time has passed, and petitioner has not responded to the court's order in  
22 any manner. Accordingly, the petition shall be dismissed with prejudice.

23 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the petition is **DISMISSED with prejudice** as time-  
24 barred.

25 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**, as jurists of  
26 reason would not find the court's dismissal with prejudice of the prejudice to be debatable or incorrect.  
27  
28

Dated: May 4, 2015.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE